BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

VINCENT AND JENNIFER NERI,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
V.)	PCB No. 05-213
)	(Enforcement – Noise)
TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA)	
INC.,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn
Clerk of the Board
Illinois Pollution Control Board
100 West Randolph Street
Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL)

Bradley P. Halloran, Esq.
Hearing Officer
Illinois Pollution Control Board
100 West Randolph Street
Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL)

(PERSONS ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO COMPLAINANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC., Respondent,

By:/s/ Thomas G. Safley
One of Its Attorneys

Dated: February 16, 2007

Edward W. Dwyer Thomas G. Safley Ryan E. Mohr HODGE DWYER ZEMAN 3150 Roland Avenue Post Office Box 5776 Springfield, Illinois 62705-5776 (217) 523-4900

THIS FILING SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Electronic Filing, Received, Clerk's Office, February 16, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas G. Safley, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have served the attached RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO COMPLAINANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT upon:

Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn Clerk of the Board Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

via electronic mail on February 16, 2007; and upon:

Bradley P. Halloran, Esq. Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Mr. Vincent Neri Ms. Jennifer Neri 6530 Lakeview Lane Monee, Illinois 60449

by depositing said documents in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, in Springfield, Illinois on February 16, 2007.

/s/ Thomas G. Safley
Thomas G. Safley

TNTL:002/Fil/Neri/NOF-COS - Response to Motion for Leave

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

VINCENT AND JENNIFER NERI,)	
Complainants,)	
V.)	PCB No. 05-213 (Enforcement – Noise)
TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC.,))	(Emoreement Troise)
Respondent.)	

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO COMPLAINANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVETO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Respondent, TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC.

("TNT"), by its attorneys, HODGE DWYER ZEMAN, and for its Response to

Complainants' "Motion For Leave to File an Amended Complaint," states as follows:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. On January 3, 2007, in PCB 05-212 and PCB 05-213, and on January 4, 2007, in PCB 05-216 and PCB 05-217, Complainants filed documents purporting to be "Amended Complaints" with the Illinois Pollution Control Board (hereinafter the "Board").
- 2. On January 16, 2007, Respondent filed its objections and moved the Board to dismiss these filings, as well as Complainants' original Complaints, by filing its "Objection to Complainants' "Amended Complaint," Motion to Dismiss Complaint as Originally Filed, and Alternative Motion for Reversal of Hearing Officer's Order" (hereinafter "Motion to Dismiss").
- 3. Complainants failed to respond to the Respondent's Motion to Dismiss within the time provided by the Board's rules, however, during the February 1, 2007

status conference it was agreed that the Complainants' deadline to respond would be extended to February 13, 2007.

4. On February 12, 2007, Complainants filed a document entitled, "Motion For Leave to File an Amended Complaint" (hereinafter "Motion for Leave") with the Board.

II. TNT'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO COMPLAINANTS' MOTION.

- 5. TNT construes the Motion for Leave to be Complainants' attempt to cure one of the defects identified by TNT in its Motion to Dismiss; specifically, that Complainants attempted to file an amended complaint without first seeking leave of the Board pursuant to 35 Ill. Admin. Code § 103.206(d). See TNT's Motion to Dismiss.
- 6. Regardless, Complainants' Motion for Leave does not even attempt to cure any other deficiency identified by TNT's Motion to Dismiss.
- 7. Most importantly, TNT moved the Board to dismiss this matter on the basis that the Board is no longer able to grant the relief sought by the Complainants.
- 8. Specifically, as stated in the Motion to Dismiss and as attested to in the Supplemental Affidavit filed with the Board on February 5, 2007, TNT no longer leases or operates the facility located at 28500 Ridgeland, Monee, Illinois (hereinafter the "Facility"); likewise, TNT does not have any authority or control over the operations of the Facility.
- 9. Complainants' Motion for Leave seems to indicate that the Complainants believes the operational change to be a name change only; however, Complainants has presented no evidence that would support such implication.

- 10. In fact, this operational change is not merely a name change, TNT and the new operator of the Facility are not related in any way. This specific issue has been addressed in TNT's Motion to Dismiss as discussed <u>supra</u>.
- 11. The Complainants in this matter are seeking a cease and desist order; that is, the "Amended Complaint" requests that the Board "stop the noise" from the Facility. "Amended Complaint" at ¶9.
- 12. Given that TNT no longer operates nor controls operations at the Facility, TNT would not have the authority or ability to take any actions in response to any cease and desist order issued by the Board in this matter, should the Board find such relief necessary.
- 13. As the Board does not have authority to grant the requested relief thus this matter should be dismissed. See, <u>James M. Tonne and Jeanine F. Tonne v. Leamington</u>

 <u>Foods</u>, PCB 93-044, at p. 2 (Ill.Pol.Control.Bd. April 21, 1994). See also, 35 Ill. Admin.

 Code § 101.200; <u>Beers</u>, PCB 04-204 (Ill.Pol.Control.Bd. July 22, 2004).

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

14. As stated above, neither the Complainants' Motion for Leave to File nor its Amended Complaint affect the grounds on which TNT has sought dismissal of this matter. The issue remains that the relief that Complainants seek against TNT cannot be granted in this case, and therefore Complainants' claims against TNT should be dismissed.

Electronic Filing, Received, Clerk's Office, February 16, 2007

WHEREFORE, Respondent, TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC., respectfully responds and objects to Complainants' "Motion For Leave to File an Amended Complaint." TNT prays that the Board deny Complaints' Motion and grant TNT's Motion to Dismiss currently pending before the Board. Finally, TNT moves the Board to grant TNT all other relief just and proper in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC., Respondent,

By: <u>/s/ Thomas G. Safley</u>
One of Its Attorneys

Dated: February 16, 2007

Edward W. Dwyer Thomas G. Safley Ryan E. Mohr HODGE DWYER ZEMAN 3150 Roland Avenue Post Office Box 5776 Springfield, Illinois 62705-5776 (217) 523-4900

TNTL:002/Fil/PCB/Neri/Response to Motion For Leave to File